IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 401 OF 2007

SHRI. SANTOSH PANDURANG BANDODKAR ... Petitioner
Versus
THE SARPANCH VILLAGE PANCHAYAT
LOUTOLIM AND 4 ORS., ... Respondents

Mr. Sudin M. S. Usgaonkar,Advocate for the petitioner.

Coram:- F.I. REBELLO, J.

Date:- 20th November, 2007

P.C.

The petitioner and respondent No.3 are brother and sister. The entire dispute is in respect of the number given to house which is in occupation of respondent No.3 with respect to the share occupied by him.

The contention of the petitioner is that as there is no partition of the property and in these circumstances, a separate house number ought not to have given to the portion occupied by respondent No.3.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that on the basis of house number, respondent No.3 is likely to claim other rights. We are not concerned with that aspect today as the limited issue in the petition is whether it was open to the respondent No.1 to grant an independent number to the portion occupied by the respondent No.3. If the Respondent No.3 is occupying a distinct portion that will be a building. In my opinion, this is not therefore,a fit case to exercise our extra ordinary jurisdiction. The issue as to other rights can be pursued in appropriate forum through appropriate proceeding. Writ petition disposed of

F.I. REBELLO, J.

SMA